



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,989	08/17/2001	Fernando Pedone	10010652-1	4220

7590 11/05/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

BURGESS, BARBARA N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/931,989

Applicant(s)

PEDONE, FERNANDO

Examiner

Barbara N Burgess

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klos et al. (hereinafter "Klos", US Pub No 2004/0022379 A1).

As per claim 1, Klos discloses a method for validation of a service request in a distributed computing system comprising:

providing a request for service (paragraph [0029]);

providing a plurality of channels connected to the client (paragraphs [0308]-[0310]);

providing first and second processes connected to the plurality of channels for

validating the request for service (paragraphs [0007], [0010], Abstract);

determining in the first and second processes that the request for service has not been previously validated (paragraph [0039]);

transmitting from the first and second processes messages having information

indicative of the transmitting from the first or second process and the request

for service (paragraphs [0007], [0010]);

Art Unit: 2157

storing the information in the first and second processes (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]); and

accepting the request for service in the first or second process after the messages are transmitted and message related information is different from the information stored in the respective first or second process (paragraphs [0618], Abstract).

As per claim 2, Klos discloses the method of validating a service request as claimed in claim 1 including rejecting the request for service in the first or second process when the message related information is the same as the information stored in the respective first or second process (paragraphs [0062], [0064]).

As per claim 3, Klos discloses the method of validating a service request as claimed in claim 1 wherein:

transmitting the message broadcasts the message (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]);

storing the information stores the information after broadcasting the message (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]); and

the message contains the message related information (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]).

As per claim 4, Klos discloses the method of validating a service request as claimed in claim 1 wherein:

transmitting the message sends the message (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]); and

Art Unit: 2157

storing the information stores the information after receiving the sent message (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]).

As per claim 5, Klos discloses the method of validating a service request as claimed in claim 1 wherein:

transmitting the message sends the message (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]); and

storing the information stores the information after receiving the sent message (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]);

and including executing a consensus after receiving the message to propose consensus information (paragraphs [0139]-[0147]);

the consensus information is the message related information (paragraphs [0139]-[0147]).

As per claims 6, 11 and 16, Klos discloses a method, means, and system of validating a service request comprising:

providing a request for service (paragraph [0029]);

providing a plurality of channels connected to the client (paragraphs [0308]-[0310]);

providing a plurality of processes connected to the plurality of channels for validating the request for service (paragraphs [0007], [0010], Abstract);

determining in the plurality of processes that the request for service has not been previously validated (paragraph [0039]);

transmitting from the plurality of processes values indicative of the transmitting from

Art Unit: 2157

the each of the plurality of processes and the request for service (paragraphs [0007], [0010]);

storing the value in the plurality of processes (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]); and accepting the request for service in one of the plurality of processes after the value is transmitted and a value related to the value transmitted is different from the value stored in the one of the plurality of processes (paragraphs [0618], Abstract).

As per claims 7, 12, and 17, Klos discloses the method, means, and system of validating a service request ms claimed in claim 6 including:

rejecting the request for service in others of the plurality of processes when the value related to the value transmitted is the same as the value stored in the others of the plurality of processes (paragraphs [0062], [0064]).

As per claims 8, 13, and 18, Klos discloses the method, means, and system of validating a service request as claimed in claim 6 wherein:

transmitting the value broadcasts the value (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]); and storing the value stores the value after the value is broadcast (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]).

As per claims 9, 14, and 19, Klos further discloses the method, means, and system of validating a service request as claimed in claim 6 wherein:

transmitting the value sends the value (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]); and

Art Unit: 2157

storing the value stores the value after receiving the sent value (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]).

As per claims 10, 15, and 20, Klos discloses the method, means, and system of validating a service request as claimed in claim 6 wherein:

transmitting the value by sending (paragraphs [0035], [0105], [0170]); and

storing the value stores the value after receiving the sent value (paragraphs [0037], [0055], [0067]);

executing a consensus after receiving the value to propose a consensus value (paragraphs [0139]-[0147]); and

the consensus value is the value related to the value transmitted (paragraphs [0139]-[0147]).

### ***Conclusion***

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No 6,295,345 B1

US Pub No 2002/0029200 A1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2157

\*\*\*

  
ARIO ETIENNE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100